

Hands-on Hypoxia: Engaging High School Educators in the science behind Marine Microbial Dynamics in Hypoxic Coastal Areas Through Field and Classroom Experiences



KYLA J. KELLY

DIEUWERTJE J. KAST

CARA A. SCHIKSNIS

J. CAMERON THRASH

*Author affiliations can be found in the back matter of this article

ubiquity press

ABSTRACT

The University of Southern California's (USC) Joint Educational Project's STEM Education Programs hosted a three-day summer workshop focused on marine microbiology and coastal deoxygenation for high school educators. To increase ocean literacy in high school students from Title I schools, topical marine science research was translated into four lesson plans appropriate for classrooms that teach biology and environmental science. The lesson plans focus on how marine microbes affect and are affected by the dissolved oxygen content of seawater but covered diverse oceanography topics including microbial ecology, nutrient cycling, physical ocean dynamics, and climate change. This education framework was designed to promote and facilitate hands on discovery-based learning and making observations about the natural world. The workshop and lesson plan development were executed in partnership with faculty and graduate students researching marine microbes and oceanography from USC's Marine and Environmental Biology department to provide scientific expertise on the subject matter. At the workshop, educators were guided through each lesson plan and given classroom sets of materials to complete each of the experiments in their own classrooms. Educators also had the opportunity to experience the academic research process at both USC and the Wrigley Institute of Environmental Studies on Catalina Island, California. Teachers valued this interactive experience to learn from professional scientists and STEM educators. They left the workshop equipped with the knowledge and confidence to teach these marine microbiology and biogeochemistry concepts in their classrooms.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Kyla J. Kelly

University of Southern
California, US

kylakell@usc.edu

KEYWORDS:

Marine microbes;
deoxygenation; marine
science education; high school;
oceanography; broader impacts

TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Kelly, K. J., Kast, D. J., Schiksnis, C. A., & Thrash, J. C. (2023). Hands-on Hypoxia: Engaging High School Educators in the science behind Marine Microbial Dynamics in Hypoxic Coastal Areas Through Field and Classroom Experiences. *Current: The Journal of Marine Education*, 38(1), pp. 28–41. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5334/cjme.86>



Marine science education is an important component of K-12 education to promote principles of ocean stewardship in the next generation. While hypoxia is commonly taught in marine science education programs, the role of marine microbes in this process is covered less frequently. Despite this, Ocean Literacy Principles scope and sequence include marine microbes and how they shape the marine ecosystem (NMEA a). Therefore, there is a critical need for additional incorporation of the importance of marine microbes in marine science education, especially while discussing coastal deoxygenation. To this end, we have designed an educational framework that includes four lesson plans covering marine microbial diversity, ecology, and physiology in relation to physical, chemical, and biological oceanography. An emphasis was placed on discussion of how these topics interact with or relate to coastal hypoxia in coastal California.

WHY STUDY MARINE MICROBES?

What do viruses, bacteria, archaea, phytoplankton, and protozoans all have in common? They are all single-celled and microscopic, belonging to a group of organisms known as marine microbes. Referred to as the “unseen majority” (Whitman et al., 1998) because of their microscopic size, marine microbes make up the largest contingency of living material or biomass in the ocean (Hatton et al., 2021). It is estimated that in the entire ocean, there are 10^{29} bacteria and archaea – that’s 100,000x more than the number of stars in the visible universe (Bar-On et al., 2018; Harvey & Howell, 2022; Whitman et al., 1998).

Marine microbes are incredibly important to food webs and biogeochemical cycling. For instance, primary producers like phytoplankton are the base of the food web, converting sunlight energy into sugars (glucose) that is transferred to higher trophic levels via predation. Furthermore, bacteria and archaea are critical to biogeochemical cycling in the ocean by functioning as decomposers to recycle organic matter like nitrogen and phosphorus back into bioavailable nutrients (NOAA a).

Many microbes are also critical to controlling the Earth’s climate and greenhouse gasses. Both photosynthesis and respiration by microorganisms impacts the concentration of oceanic and atmospheric oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations (NOAA Ocean Exploration). For instance, primary producers like phytoplankton photosynthesize, absorbing carbon dioxide (CO_2) and converting it to breathable oxygen (O_2). Meanwhile, heterotrophic marine bacteria respire, taking in dissolved oxygen (DO) from seawater and converting it back to CO_2 via respiration. These two processes work synchronously: the photosynthetic organisms produce O_2 for organisms that respire, and the organisms that respire release CO_2 that can be used again by the photosynthetic organisms (Figure 1). However, these two processes are not always balanced.




Figure 1 Photosynthesis and respiration equation.

Some regions of the ocean have low levels of oxygen and are considered oxygen deficient or hypoxic (<2 mg O_2 /L). This lack of oxygen is problematic for animals and other heterotrophic, aerobic organisms that require adequate DO to respire (NOAA National Ocean Service). In some coastal regions, oxygen concentrations are low at depth due to nutrient pollution (USGS, 2017). This overabundance of nutrients fuels phytoplankton growth. When these algal blooms terminate and sink, they are decomposed by marine bacteria. This decomposition process consumes oxygen at a high rate, leaving waters hypoxic if they cannot be adequately ventilated with the atmosphere or other DO-rich waters. When DO concentrations are so low such that they can no longer sustain animal life, they are considered “dead zones” (NOAA National Ocean Service). In these severely hypoxic regions, massive die-offs of fish can occur, consequently

harming fisheries (NOAA b). Furthermore, coastal hypoxia is expanding with climate change, especially in the Southern California Bight and the Gulf of Mexico (Booth et al., 2014; Turner & Rabalais, 2022). Research on hypoxia in coastal California is ongoing, and new information about what environmental and biological factors are contributing to expanding hypoxia is becoming available. Therefore, education of the general public on up-to-date hypoxia-related research is important as it impacts the communities in which they live.

To increase ocean literacy with regard to hypoxia and marine microbes, academic researchers, with expertise in marine microbes and coastal hypoxia, partnered with the USC Joint Educational Project's (JEP) STEM Education Programs to develop curricula and host a professional development workshop for both formal and informal educators. Relevant research was translated into four lesson plans that are suitable for use in high school classrooms teaching environmental and marine science, biology, and chemistry. Lesson plans were aligned to Next Generation Science Standard (NGSS), California Common Core Standards, A Framework for K-12 Science Education, and Ocean Literacy Principles Scope and Sequence (NGSS, 2017; California Department of Education, 2013; National Academies, 2012; NMEA a). The three-day workshop included an in-depth laboratory tour, a run-through of each of the four lessons, and a one-day excursion to the USC Wrigley Institute of Environmental Studies (WIES) on Catalina Island in the California Bight.

The objective of this workshop was to increase educator knowledge and confidence in teaching ocean sciences to high school students at Title I schools. Title I schools were our target audience to help increase access of disadvantaged students to hands-on science education that meets state academic content and performance standards. We aimed to increase ocean literacy in a larger population of students by equipping educators with the knowledge and resources to continue teaching our lessons year after year. Increasing ocean literacy helps students make educated decisions about the marine environment and its resources (NOAA c). The topics covered in these lessons are important and relevant, as climate change effects like deoxygenation can impact people from the communities in which our students and their families live. For instance, expanding hypoxic zones can be harmful to fisheries that people depend on for food or employment (Howard et al., 2020). Knowing how microbes influence these biological, chemical, and physical oceanographic processes is a key component of ocean literacy (NMEA b). Therefore, our lesson plans aim to increase ocean literacy and student awareness of pertinent issues facing their communities.

METHODS

Biological Oceanography Ph.D. candidates and STEM education experts developed four California State Science Standard and Ocean Literacy Principle-aligned lesson plans focused on marine microbes, ocean circulation, and deoxygenation. This content was created from both original ideas and adaptations of pre-existing lesson plans. The educational framework we created fills critical knowledge gaps in ocean literacy in California high school education. Furthermore, our lessons and workshop discussions place an emphasis on the marine environment off Southern California to help students connect the science to real world issues. These lesson plans follow the 5E format (Idsardi et al., 2019) and are publicly available online (see *Reproducibility*).

Eight high school educators attended a workshop on the University of Southern California's (USC) University Park Campus and the Wrigley Institute for Environmental Studies (WIES) on Catalina Island, California from July 12th to 14th, 2022 (Table 1). Most attendees came from Southern California institutions, with one teacher traveling from out of state (Washington). Informal educators comprised 25% of the attendees. Educators had a wide range of teaching experience: some with over 33 years and others just beginning their teaching careers.

Before the workshop began, educators were asked to take a survey to gather demographic information and attitudes towards teaching oceanography in their classrooms (Supplemental Document 1). Teachers also completed a pre-workshop assessment to quantify their background knowledge on the topics covered during the workshop. The knowledge assessment survey questions were graded for accuracy and averages for each question were calculated. The pre-workshop survey also had questions to quantify how interested and knowledgeable educators thought their students were with regard to oceanography topics. It should be noted that one survey was missing this information (n = 7), as one educator did not realize that there were two parts to the survey. To analyze this quantitative data, simple percentages were calculated.

	LOCATION	AGENDA	EDUCATIONAL STANDARDS
Day one	USC's University Park Campus	Introduction to marine microbial ecology lecture (2 h), Thrash Lab tour (2 h), Lesson 1: Exploring the Diversity of Marine Microbes (2 h)	NGSS HS-LS2-6 & HS-LS2-2; OLP 2, 3, 5; CCCS-R 3 & 4; CCCS-W 2 & 7; Framework PS3.D, LS4.C, LS2.B, ESS2.D, ESS3.D
Day two	Wrigley Institute for Environmental Studies (WIES) on Catalina Island, California	Lesson 1: Exploring the Diversity of Marine Microbes (3 h), research lab tours (3 h)	NGSS HS-LS2-6 & HS-LS2-2; OLP 2, 3, 5; CCCS-R 3 & 4; CCCS-W 2 & 7; Framework PS3.D, LS4.C, LS2.B, ESS2.D, ESS3.D
Day three	USC's University Park Campus	Lesson 2: Bacterial Ecology and Physiology (2 h)	NGSS HS-LS2-2 & HS-LS2-6; OLP 2, 3, 5; CCCS-R 3 & 4; CCCS-W 2 & 7; Framework LS2.B, ESS2.D, ESS3.D
		Lesson 3: Circulation of Nutrients (2 h)	NGSS HS-ESS3-5 & HS-ESS2-2; OLP 1, 2, 3; CCCS-R 3 & 4; CCCS-W 2 & 7; Framework LS2.B, ESS2.D, ESS3.D
		Lesson 4: Deoxygenation and Measuring Dissolved Oxygen (2 h)	NGSS HS-ESS3-5 & HS-ESS2-2; OLP 1, 3, 4, 5; CCCS-R 3 & 4; CCCS-W 2 & 7; Framework LS2.B, ESS2.D, ESS3.D

Table 1 Agenda for the workshop, time spent on each activity, and educational standards for Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS), Ocean Literacy Principles (OLP), California Common Core Standards: Reading Standards for Literacy in Science and Technical Subjects 6–12 (CCCS-R), California Common Core Standards: Writing Standards for Literacy in History/Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects 6–12 (CCCS-W), and A Framework for K-12 Science Education (Framework).

After collecting survey responses, the workshop began with a USC associate professor and principal investigator (PI) giving an introductory lecture on marine microbes, coastal deoxygenation, and the research their lab group at USC is conducting (see *Reproducibility*). Next, the PI gave a tour of their research lab in USC's Marine and Environmental Biology Department. Educators were introduced to several instruments used to conduct research, including environmental chambers for growing microbes, biological safety cabinets for conducting sterile culture work, and flow cytometers for counting cells (Figure 2). A graduate student in the lab demonstrated flow cytometry analyses of a sample they were working on, allowing teachers to see research in real time.



Figure 2 Educators look at a culture flask full of microbes (left) and learn about flow cytometry and cell sorting (right). Photos by Dr. Dieuwertje Kast.

After the lab tour, we returned to the teaching laboratory to run through Lesson 1: Exploring the Diversity of Marine Microbes (see *Reproducibility*). We provided some additional background information to prepare the educators for the lesson's content. The broad objectives of this lesson are to (a) gain a better understanding of marine microbes and why they are important, and (b) to learn about quantifying and characterizing microbial diversity in the ocean. One of the activities in this lesson instructs students to use a plankton tow to capture phytoplankton from the ocean, then identify species present under the microscope. While the real plankton tow was done on day two of the workshop at WIES (see below), this activity was simulated in the classroom using a makeshift plankton tow made from a 2-liter soda bottle, pantyhose, and string (Kelly & Kast, 2020; NJ Sea Grant Consortium, 2014). Glitter was poured in a large tote bin full of water to represent phytoplankton in the ocean. Teachers took turns towing the plankton net to collect the glitter "phytoplankton".

In addition to analyzing microbial community diversity, this lesson also asks students to examine how phytoplankton morphology (physical structures and shapes of organisms) aids them in staying near the surface of the ocean. This surface water retention of cells is important for phytoplankton access to adequate light for photosynthesis. To better understand this concept, we incorporated the Great Plankton Race (Deep-C Consortium, 2013; SIMS, 2020) into our lesson plan (Figure 3). The objective of this lesson was to craft the slowest sinking phytoplankton. Educators were introduced to phytoplankton morphology and structures that increase drag, helping cells stay buoyant. Next, teachers were given foam, paperclips, pipe cleaners, sponges, and straws to build their own slow-sinking phytoplankton. After ~15 minutes, the sinking speed of each plankton was recorded. We then discussed why some phytoplankton did better than others, focusing on surface area and its relation to drag. Science and math standards may be incorporated here, as students could calculate the surface area of their crafted phytoplankton and relate these values to sinking speed.



Figure 3 Teachers participate in the great plankton race activity from Lesson 1. A few examples of the phytoplankton teachers created are displayed. Photos by Dr. Dieuwertje Kast.

On day two of the professional development workshop, educators were brought to USC's field site on Catalina Island: the USC WIES. Teachers gained hands-on experience practicing experimental techniques and performing measurements used by oceanographers doing research in the field. To measure microbial respiration rates in the water off Catalina Island, educators deployed a Niskin bottle to collect a water sample (Figure 4). This water sampling method collects water at a specified depth by deploying a "messenger" to close the bottle, sealing the sample inside. Once the Niskin bottle was brought back onto the dock, the attached hose was used to collect water in opaque glass containers. These containers were then sealed to prevent any oxygen exchange with the air, so that oxygen consumption could be measured. A negative control was created by adding carbonyl cyanide *m*-chlorophenyl hydrazone (CCCP), which disrupts respiration. These special bottles were equipped with optode sensors, an oxygen detection technique that uses light and fiber optic cables to read the DO content of seawater. We showed educators how to take these measurements and they recorded initial values for time point zero. The samples were then left to incubate in a temperature-controlled water bath for a few hours. More optode measurements were collected each hour to demonstrate the change in DO levels over time. We further discussed the data with them on day three of the workshop, connecting this research tool and data to microbial respiration.

Next, an educator on staff at WIES led teachers in doing two plankton tows off the dock (Lesson 1). They used a 20-micron net to capture phytoplankton and an 80-micron net to capture zooplankton. These samples were taken up to the lab for microscopic analysis. Additionally, teachers practiced making standard water quality and environmental measurements: salinity using a refractometer, temperature, wind speed and direction using an anemometer, pH using pH strips, and turbidity using a Secchi disk (Figure 4). This data is important to record

when collecting the plankton tow, as water's chemical and physical properties can impact plankton community composition. This is the standard procedure done by researchers who perform weekly net tows along many of the southern California piers to assess phytoplankton community composition (SCCOOS, 2022).



Figure 4 Educators take turns collecting water in a Niskin bottle to measure dissolved oxygen with an optode sensor (top left), measuring turbidity with a Secchi disk (top right), collecting phyto- and zooplankton in net tows (bottom left), and measuring salinity with a refractometer (bottom right). Photos by Dr. Dieuwertje Kast.

In the lab, teachers viewed samples from their plankton tows under the microscope (Figure 5). They were given identification guides and asked to identify and describe the organisms they saw. These observations of phytoplankton morphology (i.e., cellular shape and extracellular structures) were discussed in the context of the great plankton race activity on day one. The water was full of a diverse community that included phytoplankton (like *Chaetoceros sp.*), radiolarians, copepods, barnacle larvae (nauplii), and polychaetae worm larvae. We discussed the Latin names of these groups of organisms and how we can use language to help students understand the names of these different groups of organisms. For example, planktos is Greek for “to wander”, and scientists define plankton as organisms that drift with the current and are unable to swim against it. The procedure for this plankton tow and microscopic identification activity are outlined in Lesson 1 (see *Reproducibility*).



Figure 5 Educators use microscopes at WIES to look at the phyto- and zooplankton samples they collected (left). One microscope was connected to a screen to project the view under the scope (right). Photos by Dr. Dieuwertje Kast and Kyla Kelly.

Lastly, educators toured a lab actively conducting research on foraminifera. The lab's PI briefly talked about the values of foraminifera research and the insights they can provide with regard to paleoclimate change research. Teachers had the opportunity to watch these researchers feed the organisms they were working with.

On day three of the workshop, we were back in the teaching lab on USC's main campus guiding educators through the rest of the lesson plans. We connected the concepts and activities to the educators' experiences at WIES. Starting with Lesson 2: Bacterial Ecology and Physiology (see *Reproducibility*), we engaged educators in the material by asking teachers what they already knew about ecology and physiology. We discussed how each of these terms can be applied to marine microbes. One of the objectives of this lesson was to perform a growth assay by plating bacteria and counting colony forming units (CFUs) to quantify bacteria present in a sample. This method is commonly used for water quality assessments such as determining the amount of *E. coli* present in a water sample to assess the risk of exposure of beachgoers to harmful pathogens (CA.gov, 2022). This method involves performing serial dilutions (another lesson objective), which can be related to state math standards. We first demonstrated this conceptually by serially diluting a water sample with dark blue food dye (Figure 6). This demonstration is helpful for visual learners, as one cannot directly observe the differences in concentrations when serially diluting bacteria.

Figure 6 A visual example of serial dilutions was made using food coloring and distilled water (top). In Lesson 2, teachers performed serial dilutions with bacterial cultures and plated them on agar (middle). Twenty-four hours later, the number of bacterial colonies on each plate were counted and quantified (bottom). Photos by Dr. Dieuwertje Kast and Kyla Kelly.



In pairs, educators performed their own serial dilutions with a concentrated culture of innocuous *E. coli* (strain NCM3722) and distilled water. To visualize the concentration of cells in each dilution, cells were plated on agar and left to incubate for 24 hours. Plates were checked the next day, and the data was sent to workshop attendees (Figure 6). During the workshop, we went over calculations of CFUs that are used to quantify bacteria in an environmental sample (see equation).

$$CFUs / mL = \frac{\text{Number of CFUs}}{\text{dilution factor} \times \text{volume plated}}$$

Equation 1: This equation is used to calculate colony forming units (CFUs) in Lesson 2.

They practiced these calculations with example plates previously made as a demonstration.

Next, we went over lessons focused on the ocean's physical and chemical properties. From the day one introductory lecture and the previous day's DO measurements, teachers were ready to engage with the concepts covered in Lesson 4: Deoxygenation and Measuring Dissolved Oxygen (see *Reproducibility*). Knowing that DO concentrations are dependent on certain ocean physical and chemical properties, the educators were tasked with measuring the DO in various water samples. They used dissolved oxygen test kits from Monitor Aquarium and Aquaculture Water Quality to measure DO in saline water (made using table salt) and freshwater, each at three different temperatures: cold, hot, and room temperature (Figure 7). Results were recorded and discussed in relation to the DO concentrations measured the previous day (using the optode method) on Catalina Island.



Figure 7 Teachers measure dissolved oxygen content of water samples with different salinities and temperatures in Lesson 4. Photos by Dr. Dieuwertje Kast.

Lastly, teachers participated in the activities outlined in Lesson 3: Circulation of Nutrients and Oxygen (see *Reproducibility*). First, teachers simulated ocean stratification by layering waters of differing densities in a clear plastic bin. Hot fresh water, hot salt water, cold fresh water, and cold salt water were each dyed a different color using food coloring. One at a time, each type of water was slowly poured down a sloping surface into the clear bin (Figure 8). Teachers observed the resulting layers (stratification) and we discussed how different water properties affect the density of water masses in the ocean. We made connections between this lab demonstration, stratification in the natural environment, and how this impacts circulation of nutrients and DO between the surface ocean and deep waters.

In addition to demonstrating how water masses of different densities form layers in the ocean, teachers also participated in an activity that showed how water bodies of different densities are unable to mix. Two cups of water with different densities (cold and salty vs. warm and fresh) were dyed blue or red (respectively), then placed in a shallow container of water. Each cup was poked with a tack to let the water slowly seep out. Teachers observed that the two water masses did not mix and formed their own layers (Figure 8).

At the end of the workshop, teachers were asked to participate in another post-workshop knowledge assessment that asked the same questions as the pre-workshop knowledge assessment to determine the effectiveness of our workshop in increasing educator knowledge of marine microbes and ocean deoxygenation (Supplemental Document 1). Additionally, this questionnaire included a quantitative survey that asked teachers to rate how much they valued the workshop and provided space for feedback. We graded this knowledge assessment portion for accuracy of answers and compared average scores for each question to scores from the

pre-lesson knowledge assessments. Simple percentages were calculated for quantitative portion. Although eight teachers attended the workshop, only seven post-workshop surveys were collected, as one educator left early due to a schedule conflict.



Figure 8 In Lesson 3, educators poured water of different densities down a gently sloping surface (top left). The different water bodies (indicated by different colors) separated into layers based on density (top right). To demonstrate the separation of bodies of water with different densities, cups with cold, saline water (blue) and hot, fresh water (red) were placed in a shallow container of water (bottom left). Holes were poked in the cups to allow water to slowly trickle out. The water masses separated in the container, based on density (bottom right). Photos by Dr. Dieuwertje Kast.

Lastly, teachers were sent home with bags containing most of the supplies needed to do these lessons in their own classrooms. These supplies included DO measurement kits, test tubes, agar plates, disposable pipettes, plastic bins, build-your-own-plankton-tow supplies, and more. The supplies not provided were easily accessible “grocery store” items. Furthermore, teachers were given the opportunity to apply for continuing education units for participating in the workshop.

ALIGNMENT TO EDUCATIONAL STANDARDS

To make our lesson plans broadly available to California high schools, we aligned our lesson plans to NGSS and California Common Core Standards (NGSS, California Department of Education). We also aligned them to A Framework for K-12 Science Education standards and Ocean Literacy Principles Scope and Sequence to make these lesson plans applicable to educational standards in other states (National Academies, 2012; NMEA a). The NGSS standards covering biodiversity and populations in ecosystems and changing environmental conditions relate to our lessons about microbial diversity and climate change, while the standards covering geoscience relate to our lessons about the effects of physical and chemical oceanography on dissolved oxygen and nutrient concentrations. California Common Core Standards about carrying out experimental procedures and understanding science-specific words relate to performing the experiments in our lesson plans and analyzing the results, as well as comprehending the scientific content and vocabulary presented. A Framework for K-12 Science Education standards covering cycles of matter, cellular energy generating processes, adaptation, and climate change related to our lessons’ discussion of the role of microbes in biogeochemical cycling, energy generation via photosynthesis, phytoplankton adaptations to life in the surface ocean (e.g., the great plankton race), and consequences of global change. Lastly, Ocean Literacy Principles covering ocean circulation, biogeochemical cycling, climate change, oxygen production, primary productivity, and ecosystem and biological diversity relate to our lessons about the role of phytoplankton and bacteria in ocean biogeochemistry and DO content.

SURVEY RESULTS

Educators had a strong baseline understanding of microbial ecology before the workshop. When asked to define “marine microbe” and “ecology”, average scores increased minimally or did not change between the pre- and post-workshop knowledge assessments. On the pre-test, several teachers listed specific examples of microbes, including zooxanthellae and dinoflagellates, rather than a broader term such as “phytoplankton”. However, on the post test, a few educators were unsure if viruses were considered marine microbes. We did not cover viruses in our lesson plans, but this topic should be incorporated in the future to enhance comprehension of microbial ecology.

In contrast, educators had less background knowledge about chemical oceanography and dissolved oxygen (DO). When asked questions about DO on the pre-test, some teachers had a strong understanding of what DO is and why organisms need it, but some misconceptions were also present. Furthermore, teachers were only able to list a few examples of what impacts DO concentrations on the pre-test. After the workshop, the average score on this question increased from 2.57 to 4.57, indicating that our workshop helped educators better understand marine DO dynamics. When asked “what impacts the amounts of DO in the ocean,” some answers were high level (e.g., relating marine DO concentrations to upwelling and currents). This indicates that our workshop successfully increased educator’s knowledge of physical and chemical oceanography as it relates to DO.

The pre-lesson survey showed that educators thought it was important for students to learn about oceanography, with 100% answering “very important” or “somewhat important” (Figure 9A). Also, 85.7% of teachers thought most of their students were “not knowledgeable” or “somewhat not knowledgeable” about oceanography (Figure 9B). However, teachers did not feel as strongly that their students were interested in oceanography, with 50% answering “neutral” interest, 33.3% answering “somewhat interested”, and only 16.7% answering “very interested” (Figure 9C). Despite this lack of interest, we hope that our hands-on lesson plans will better engage students as hands on activities have been shown to increase student interest in the subject of study (Paris et al., 1998; Holstermann et al., 2009). We also made these lesson plans more relevant by putting them in the context of values that might be important to the students and how they interact with these concepts in their everyday lives. Together, this strategy should increase student interest and engagement. We did not follow up with educators to survey their students, but this should be incorporated to future workshops.

Regardless of student feelings about oceanography, it is clear that teachers believed that our educational framework could increase interest in STEM fields. One teacher wrote the following on their post-workshop survey: “It’s a great experience and reassures the importance of studying marine biology”. Though the pre-lesson survey questions regarding student interest were not asked again after the workshop, this indicates that the workshop may have further supported teachers’ positive feelings about the importance of marine science education.

The post-workshop survey on day three also asked teachers to rate workshop effectiveness. 85.7% strongly believed that the workshop increased their science knowledge. Additionally, 100% of educators strongly agreed that the workshop and the materials they received (supply kits and lesson plans) were useful to them. Overall, the teachers expressed that the workshop was a valuable experience and would recommend it to colleagues.

REFLECTIONS

Throughout the workshop, teachers were very engaged and asked lots of questions. Most of the questions were directed at gaining a deeper understanding of the subject material beyond what we planned on presenting, while others were based on previous knowledge of climate change to learn more about marine microbes in that context. For example, one teacher asked if phytoplankton, producers of oxygen, would be affected by expanding hypoxia. During the hands-on activities, they thought like students, preparing themselves to answer questions their students might ask. Similarly, during our presentation some of the ongoing research at USC, educators asked thoughtful questions to gain a deeper understanding of these topics. It was clear that educators had a strong interest in oceanography, hypoxia, and marine microbes.




Figure 9 Educator survey responses from the pre-knowledge assessment. Educators were asked to rank the following statements on the provided scale. Of the 8 teachers that attended the workshop, 7 responded to the surveys.

Experiences interacting with academic researchers at WIES and USC were particularly memorable for educators. Several teachers wrote about how much they enjoyed the opportunity to observe academic scientists conducting research, saying that they really appreciated “...being able to talk to visiting researchers” and that “interacting with researchers was an added bonus!”. Others wrote about the experience of learning what a “real lab” and scientific equipment looks like. Often, there is a disconnect between research findings and how scientists come to these conclusions; the process of research can seem arcane. One goal of the workshop was to demystify the research process by bringing educators into labs actively conducting experiments. The opportunity to see flow cytometry measurements of cells in the lab and observe foraminifera research at WIES was highly valued by teachers. Furthermore, the immersive experience of making optode measurements allowed educators to participate in real time data collection using scientific equipment. One teacher remarked: “I enjoyed how interactive the workshop was...”.

While teachers participated in the scientific process, we discussed how it could be incorporated into the lesson plans. For instance, we drew connections between the optode measurements performed at WIES to the DO measurements made using a kit in Lesson 4. Bridging these two activities via the scientific concepts behind them demonstrates how real research techniques can be brought into classrooms to teach students how scientists make measurements and collect data.

Teachers valued witnessing real-time research being conducted on microbial organisms and felt that their students would value these experiences too. During the workshop, one teacher invited us to come speak in her classroom and asked if her students could visit USC for a lab tour. Another followed up after the workshop. She expressed that this experience would be highly valuable: “having [high school] students see college students doing their part in various research will no doubt increase their decision to attend college or pursue more science!”. It is clear that lab experiences like these, especially paired with field trips to universities, enhance both teacher and student engagement in science.

Educators thought the lessons were very engaging and fun stratification. They were amazed that the waters did not mix and the colors did not blend. Another teacher applauded the glitter “phytoplankton” in Lesson 1, saying “glitter will make *any* activity exciting and engaging”. However, some teachers did suggest substituting plastic glitter for an eco-friendly material or biodegradable glitter. Not only do these colorful tools increase enthusiasm for the subjects being taught, but also serve as great visual aids. For instance, in Lesson 2 a serial dilution of bacteria cannot be seen with the naked eye, yet the demonstration of serial dilutions with dyed water was a visual aid for this concept. Therefore, these visual tools may not only increase engagement, but also lead to a better understanding of the concepts presented.

Teachers also had excellent suggestions for incorporating the scientific method students are taught. When measuring DO of hot, cold, salty, and freshwater samples in Lesson 4, the results were not as expected. Teachers seized this opportunity to discuss errors in scientific research. They told us that students often struggle with this concept when writing lab reports but discussing it in this context might strengthen student comprehension.

Working through the lessons, educators also had suggestions for incorporating math standards. For example, in the great plankton race activity (Lesson 1) teachers suggested their students could calculate the surface area of their plankton after measuring sinking speed. By collecting this data from the whole class, students could make inferences about the relationship between surface area and sinking speed. Similarly, teachers suggested that students could calculate the volume of water filtered through a plankton net using the net’s diameter and length of tow. Therefore, quantitative science can demonstrate real world applications of math.

ESTIMATED IMPACT

Across the eight educators, these lessons are expected to reach at least 730 students in high school classrooms and over 850 in an informal education setting. These lesson plans are also publicly available (see *Reproducibility*). By sharing them online, we hope to expand ocean literacy and teach even more teachers and students about marine microbiology and hypoxia. Furthermore, most of the lessons require simple “grocery store” supplies, making them easy for teachers to reproduce in their own classrooms.

CONCLUSION

This interactive workshop helped educators gain the knowledge and confidence to teach marine science at a deeper level in their high school classrooms. While many were already familiar with microbial ecology, teachers did gain substantial knowledge with regard to chemical and physical properties of the ocean and how they relate to dissolved oxygen. Immersive experiences like these increase engagement with lessons.

Furthermore, this workshop has the potential to expand marine science education in California high schools. By making all lesson plans freely available to the education community, we hope to increase ocean literacy in a greater number of students. We encourage the formation of partnerships between academic scientists and STEM education institutions like JEP as it provides a valuable framework for supporting continuing education for teachers and for supplementing science instruction in high schools.

ADDITIONAL FILE

The additional file for this article can be found as follows:

- **Supplemental Document 1.** pre- and post-lesson knowledge assessment template. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5334/cjme.86.s1>

REPRODUCIBILITY

[Lesson plans, handouts, and answer keys](#)

[Introductory hypoxia and marine microbial research presentation](#)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Thrash Lab members, Chuankai Cheng for demonstrating flow cytometry, and Jordan Coelho for ordering supplies. We also thank Loraine Saddler, Kellie Spafford, and the WIES staff for facilitating our field trip. Lastly, we thank USC's Marine & Environmental Biology Department and the Biology Department for providing teaching lab space and allowing us to tour research labs.

FUNDING INFORMATION

NSF award number OCE-1945279: Investigating aerobic microbial respiration dynamics in coastal hypoxia. Joint Educational Project's STEM Education Programs are funded by USC Good Neighbors Campaign Grant, private alumni funding, and corporate donors including Raytheon, Hyundai, and Union Bank.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JCT provided the funds for the workshop. KJK and CES wrote the curriculum for each lesson plan, with guidance from DJK. DJK and JCT developed and planned the workshop, with help from KJK. KJK, DJK, and JCT facilitated all workshop activities. KJK wrote the manuscript, with help from DJK and JCT.

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

Kyla J. Kelly  orcid.org/0000-0002-8594-2157
University of Southern California, US

Dieuwertje J. Kast  orcid.org/0000-0002-0857-6480
University of Southern California, US

Cara A. Schiksnis  orcid.org/0009-0005-6199-9668
University of Southern California, US

J. Cameron Thrash  orcid.org/0000-0003-0896-9986
University of Southern California, US

REFERENCES

- Bar-On, Y. M., Philips, R., & Milo, R.** (2018). The biomass distribution on Earth. *PNAS*, 115(25), 6506–6511. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1711842115>
- Booth, J. A. T., Woodson, C. B., Stula, M., Micheli, F., Weisberg, S. B, Bograd, S. J., Steele, A., Schoen, J., & Crowder, L. B.** (2014). Patterns and potential drivers of declining oxygen content along the southern California coast. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 59(4), 1127–1138. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2014.59.4.1127>
- CA.gov.** (2022). *Is it safe to swim in our waters?* Retrieved from https://mywaterquality.ca.gov/safe_to_swim/
- California Department of Education.** (2013). *California Common Core State Standards, English Language Arts & Literacy in History/Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects.* Retrieved from <https://www.cde.ca.gov/be/st/ss/documents/finaelaccsstandards.pdf>
- Deep-C Consortium.** (2013). *The Great Plankton Race.* Retrieved from <https://ocean.si.edu/sites/default/files/2020-11/lp-great-plankton-race.pdf>
- Harvey, A., & Howell, E.** (2022). *How many stars are in the universe?* Retrieved from <https://www.space.com/26078-how-many-stars-are-there.html>
- Hatton, I. A., Heneghan, R. F., Bar-On, Y. M., & Galbraith, E. D.** (2021). The global ocean size spectrum from bacteria to whales. *Science Advances*, 7(46), 1–12. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abh3732>
- Holstermann, N., Grube, D., & Bögeholz, S.** (2009). Hands-on Activities and Their Influence on Students' Interest. *Research in Science Education*, 40, 743–757. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-009-9142-0>
- Howard, E. M., Penn, J. L., Frenzel, H., Seibel, B. A., Bianchi, D., Renault, L., Kessouri, F. Sutula, M. A., McWilliams, J. C., & Deutsch, C.** (2020). Climate-driven aerobic habitat loss in the California Current System. *Science Advances*, 6(20), 1–11. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aay3188>

- Idsardi, R., Hahn, D. A., Bokor, J. R., & Luft, J. A.** (2019). Modifying Scientific Research Into Introductory Science Course Lessons Using a 5E Lesson Format: An Active Learning Approach. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 48(5), 14–21. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/26901311>
- Kelly K. J., & Kast, D. J.** (2020). Planktonic Relationships! Authentic STEM Connections Through Partnership Between University of Southern California's Marine Researchers and Los Angeles Unified Elementary School Students. *Current*, 34(2), 12–18. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5334/cjme.28>
- National Academies.** (2012). A Framework for K-12 Science Education: Practices, Crosscutting Concepts, and Core Ideas. Retrieved from <https://nap.nationalacademies.org/catalog/13165/a-framework-for-k-12-science-education-practices-crosscutting-concepts>
- NGSS.** (2017). *High School Physical Sciences*. Retrieved from <https://www.nextgenscience.org/sites/default/files/HSDCI.pdf>
- NJ Sea Grant Consortium.** (2014). *Plankton*. Retrieved from <https://njseagrant.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/plankton.pdf>
- NMEA a.** (ND). *Ocean Literacy Scope & Sequence for Grades K-12*. Retrieved from <https://www.marine-ed.org/ocean-literacy/scope-and-sequence> Accessed Jun. 26, 2023.
- NMEA b.** (2021). *Ocean Literacy*. Version 3.1. Retrieved from https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5b4cecfde2ccd188cfed8026/t/60b90193ec346816a95717bb/1622737304272/OceanLiteracy_ENG_V3.1_2021.pdf
- NOAA a.** (2019). *Aquatic food webs*. Retrieved from <https://www.noaa.gov/education/resource-collections/marine-life/aquatic-food-webs>
- NOAA b.** (2021). *Happening Now: Dead Zone in the Gulf 2021*. Retrieved from <https://oceantoday.noaa.gov/deadzonegulf-2021/welcome.html#:~:text=The%202021%20Gulf%20of%20Mexico,over%20the%20past%20five%20years>
- NOAA c.** (ND) *About the National Ocean Service, America's Coastal & Ocean Agency*. Retrieved from <https://oceanservice.noaa.gov/about/welcome.html#cite> Accessed Jun. 26, 2023.
- NOAA National Ocean Service.** (2022). *Hypoxia*. Retrieved from <https://oceanservice.noaa.gov/hazards/hypoxia/#:~:text=Hypoxia%20Causes%20%27Dead%20Zones%27&text=Unable%20to%20sustain%20life%2C%20these,the%20northern%20Gulf%20of%20Mexico>
- NOAA Ocean Exploration.** (ND). *What are marine microbes?* Retrieved from <https://oceanexplorer.noaa.gov/facts/marinemicrobes.html>. Accessed Aug. 14, 2022.
- Paris, S. G., Yambor, K. M., & Packard, B. W.** (1998). Hands-On Biology: A Museum-School-University Partnership for Enhancing Students' Interest and Learning in Science. *The Elementary School Journal*, 98(3), 267–288. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1086/461894>
- SCCOOS.** (2022). *SCCOOS & CeNCOOS Harmful Algal Bloom Monitoring Alert Program*. Retrieved from <https://sccoos.org/harmful-algal-bloom/>
- SIMS.** (2020). *Design-a-plankton challenge*. Retrieved from https://engonetsims.blob.core.windows.net/assets/uploads/files/SIMS%20Virtual%20Learning_Plankton%20Worksheet.pdf
- Turner, R. E., & Rabalais, N. N.** (2022). *2022 Forecast: Summer Hypoxic Zone Size in the Northern Gulf of Mexico*. Department of Oceanography and Coastal Sciences, Louisiana State University. Retrieved from https://www.hpc.msstate.edu/NewsEvents/docs/2022/2022hypoxiaforecast_NR.pdf
- USGS.** (2017). *The Gulf of Mexico Hypoxic Zone*. Retrieved from https://toxics.usgs.gov/hypoxia/hypoxic_zone.html#:~:text=Hypoxia%20is%20believed%20to%20be,seasonal%20stratification%20of%20Gulf%20waters
- Whitman, W. B., Coleman, D. C., & Wiebe, W. J.** (1998). Prokaryotes: the unseen majority. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA*, 95(12), 6578–6583. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.12.6578>

TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Kelly, K. J., Kast, D. J., Schiksnis, C. A., & Thrash, J. C. (2023). Hands-on Hypoxia: Engaging High School Educators in the science behind Marine Microbial Dynamics in Hypoxic Coastal Areas Through Field and Classroom Experiences. *Current: The Journal of Marine Education*, 38(1), pp. 28–41. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5334/cjme.86>

Submitted: 07 September 2022

Accepted: 02 August 2023

Published: 03 October 2023

COPYRIGHT:

© 2023 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Current: The Journal of Marine Education is a peer-reviewed open access journal published by Ubiquity Press.